

A Disputed Invoice, Three Shipments, One Question for the FMC

FMC Docket No. 25-13 — American Iron & Metal Company Inc. v. Priva Logistics Inc.

American Iron & Metal Company Inc. and Priva Logistics Inc. are before the Federal Maritime Commission over a disputed \$70,000 invoice tied to a 2024 Malaysia shipment — and whether that dispute justified withholding two unrelated shipments bound for Italy and India. Here's what both sides agree on, what each alleges, and what the Commission is being asked to decide.

The case is still unresolved. But before getting to what each side says happened, there are a few things they do agree on.

What both sides agree on

[illegible]

AIM's Side of the Story

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Why It Matters Beyond This Case

[REDACTED]

Where the Case Stands

[REDACTED]

Source Note

Facts about who the parties are, their FMC license and business locations, and the four bookings at issue come from the Stipulated Facts section of AIM's Complainant's Brief (Doc. 19).

The \$70,000 charge, the \$366,768 demand and its breakdown, and AIM's June 2025 trust-account offer come from AIM's Verified Complaint (Doc. 1).

The \$22,104 demurrage figure, the deposition quotes, Priva's account-wide hold policy, and the Petra Pet / Worldlink case citations come from AIM's Complainant's Brief (Doc. 19).

Priva's mislabeling argument, its cited regulation, and its characterization of the hold come from the case files of Priva's filings (Docs. 8, 21, 22).